

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

2
3

5

6
7
8
9

11

12

13
14
15

16
17
18

19
20
21

22

23

1 Thus, the Office cites Killian for the recognized deficiencies of Florin.
2 Applicant respectfully disagrees that “Killian discloses displaying a television
3 channel on a web page” at col. 5, lines 1-29 as the Office suggests (*Office Action*
4 p.2). Further, Killian does not disclose a web browser program, or suggest
5 “displaying the primary display screen on a television through a web browser
6 program”, as recited in claim 1 for example.

7 Killian describes a television system that includes an application which
8 synchronizes and integrates television signals and Internet information for display
9 on a television (col.3, lines 20-23). Killian describes obtaining program listing
10 information and Internet information corresponding to a particular television
11 channel via a link to the Internet (cols. 3-5). The Internet information
12 corresponding to the particular television channel is integrated with a decoded
13 television signal for the particular channel and the integrated information is
14 communicated to a television for viewing (col.5, lines 1-29).

15 Killian actually teaches away from the present application in that Killian
16 describes displaying Internet information on a television. This is in opposite to
17 displaying television through a web browser program, as recited in the
18 independent claims of the present application. For example, Killian describes that
19 a regular television broadcast is in a first display area on a television and Internet
20 information is in a second display area on the television (col.5, lines 30-33). This
21 is contrary to “displaying the primary display screen on a television *through a web*
22 *browser program*”, as recited in claim 1.

23 Killian does not disclose a web browser program or even suggest
24 “displaying the primary display screen on a television through a web browser
25 program”, as recited in claim 1. Accordingly, claim 1 is allowable over the Florin-

1 Killian combination and Applicant respectfully requests that the §103 rejection be
2 withdrawn.

3
4 In addition to claim 1, independent claims 20, 26, 29, 33, 34, 35, and 36 are
5 also allowable over the Florin-Killian combination for the reasons described
6 above. Furthermore, the Florin-Killian combination does not teach or suggest the
7 combination of elements recited in independent claims 1, 20, 26, 29, and 33-36.
8 Accordingly, the §103 rejection should be withdrawn.

9
10 Claims 2-5 and 7-19 are allowable by virtue of their dependency upon
11 claim 1 (either directly or indirectly).

12 Claims 21-25 are allowable by virtue of their dependency upon claim 20
13 (either directly or indirectly).

14 Claims 27-28 are allowable by virtue of their dependency upon claim 26.

15 Claims 31-32 are allowable by virtue of their dependency upon claim 29.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

Respectfully Submitted,

By:

lee.&hayes